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#### Abstract

We present particular and unique solutions of Dokshitzer- Gribov- Lipatov- Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equation for gluon structure function in leading order (LO) and obtain $t$ and $x$-evolutions of gluon structure function at small- $x$. The results are compared with a recent global parameterization.
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## 1. Introduction

In recent papers [1-2], particular and unique solutions of the Dokshitzer- Gribov- Lipatov- Altarelli- Parisi (DGLAP) [3-6] evolution equations for $t$ and $x$-evolutions of singlet and non-singlet structure functions in leading order (LO) and next-to-leading order (NLO) at small-x have been reported. The same technique can be applied to the DGLAP evolution equations for gluon structure function in LO to obtain $t$ and $x$-evolutions of gluon structure function. These LO results are compared with a recent global parameterization [7-8]. Here Section 1, Section 2, and Section 3 will give the introduction, the necessary theory and the results and discussion respectively.

## 2. Theory

The DGLAP evolution equation for gluon structure function has the standard form [9] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{A_{f}}{t}\left\{\left(\frac{11}{12}-\frac{N_{f}}{18}+\ln (1-x)\right) G(x, t)+I_{g}\right\}=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

[^0]$I_{g}=\int_{x}^{1} d w\left[\begin{array}{l}\frac{w G(x / w, t)-G(x, t)}{1-w}+\left(w(1-w)+\frac{1-w}{w}\right) G(x / w, t) \\ +\frac{2}{9}\left(\frac{1+(1-w)^{2}}{w}\right) F_{2}^{S}(x / w, t)\end{array}\right]$,
$t=\ln \left(Q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right), \quad A_{f}=\frac{36}{33-N_{f}}, \quad N_{f}$ being the number of flavour.

Let us introduce the variable $u=1-w$ and note that [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x}{w}=\frac{x}{1-u}=x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series (3) is convergent for $|u|<1$. Since $x<w<1$, so $0<u<1-x$ and hence the convergence criterion is satisfied.
Now, using Taylor expansion method [11] we can rewrite $G$ $0<u<1-x$ and hence the convergence criterion is satisfied.
Now, using Taylor expansion method [11] we can rewrite $G$ $(x / w, t)$ as

$$
G(x / w, t)=G\binom{x+x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u^{k}, t}{k=1}
$$

$$
=G(x, t)+x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u^{k} \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u^{k}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+\ldots \ldots
$$

which covers the whole range of $u, 0<u<1-x$. Since $x$ is small in our region of discussion, the terms containing $x^{2}$ and higher powers of $x$ can be neglected as our first approximation as discussed in our earlier works [1-2, 12-14] and $G(x / w, t)$ can be approximated for small- $x$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x / w, t) \cong G(x, t)+x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u^{k} \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $F_{2}^{s}(x / w, t)$ can be approximated for small- $x$ as
$F_{2}^{S}(x / w, t) \cong F_{2}^{S}(x, t)+x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u \frac{k F_{2}^{S}(x, t)}{\partial x}$.
Using equations (5) and (6) in equations (1) and (2) and performing $u$-integrations we get
$\frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{A_{f}}{t}\left[\begin{array}{l}A_{1}(x) F_{2}^{S}(x, t)+B_{1}(x) \frac{\partial F_{2}^{S}(x, t)}{\partial x} \\ +C_{1}(x) G(x, t)+D_{1}(x) \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x}\end{array}\right]=0$,
where
$A_{1}(x)=-\left[\frac{2}{9}(1-x)+\frac{1}{9}(1-x)^{2}+\frac{4}{9} \ln x\right]$,
$B_{1}(x)=x\left[\frac{4}{9 x}+\frac{4}{9}(1-x)+\frac{1}{9}(1-x)^{2}+\frac{8}{9} \ln x-\frac{4}{9}\right]$,
$C_{1}(x)=\left(\frac{11}{12}-\frac{N_{f}}{18}\right)+\ln (1-x)-\left[\begin{array}{c}2(1-x)-\frac{1}{2}(1-x)^{2} \\ +\frac{1}{3}(1-x)^{3}+\ln x\end{array}\right]$,
$D_{1}(x)=x\left[\frac{1}{x}+2(1-x)+\frac{1}{3}(1-x)^{3}+2 \ln x-1\right]$.
For simplicity we assume [1-2]
$G(x, t)=K(x) F_{2} s(x, t)$, where $K(x)$ is a function of $x$. Therefore
$F_{2}^{S}(x, t)=K_{1}(x) G(x, t)$, where $K_{1}(x)=1 / K(x)$.
Now equation (7) becomes
$\frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t}-\frac{A_{f}}{t}\left[P(x) G(x, t)+Q(x) \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x}\right]=0$,
where $\quad P(x)=A_{1}(x) K_{1}(x)+B_{1}(x) \frac{\partial K_{1}(x)}{\partial x}+C_{1}(x)$
and $Q(x)=B_{1}(x) K_{1}(x)+D_{1}(x)$.

The general solutions of equations (9) is [11, 15] $F(U$, $V)=0$, where $F$ is an arbitrary function and $U(x, t, G)=C_{1}$ and $V(x, t, G)=C_{2}$ form a solution of equations
$\frac{d x}{A_{f} Q(x)}=\frac{d t}{-t}=\frac{d G(x, t)}{-A_{f} P(x) G(x, t)}$.
Solving equation (10) we obtain
$U(x, t, G)=t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]$
and $\quad V(x, t, G)=G(x, t) \exp \left[\int \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} d x\right]$.

If $U$ and $V$ are two independent solutions of equation (10) and if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are arbitrary constants, then $V=\alpha U+\beta$ may be taken as a complete solution of equation (10). Now the complete solution [13-14]
$G(x, t) \exp \left[\int \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} d x\right]=\alpha t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]+\beta$
is a two-parameter family of surfaces, which does not have an envelope, since the arbitrary constants enter linearly [11]. Differentiating equation (11) with respect to $\beta$ we get 0 $=1$, which is absurd. Hence there is no singular solution. The one parameter family determined by taking $\beta=\alpha^{2}$ has equation
$G(x, t) \exp \left[\int \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} d x\right]=\alpha t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]+\alpha^{2}$.
Differentiating equation (12) with respect to $\alpha$, we get $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2} t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]$. Putting the value of $\alpha$ in equation (12), we obtain the envelope
$G(x, t)=-\frac{1}{4} t^{2} \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{2}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$,
which is merely a particular solution of the general solution. Now, defining
$G\left(x, t_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} t_{0}^{2} \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{2}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$, at $t=t_{0}$, where $t_{0}=\ln \left(Q_{0}{ }^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$ at any lower value
$Q=Q_{0}$, we get from equation (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=G\left(x, t_{0}\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the $t$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x$, $t$ ). Again defining,

$$
G\left(x_{0}, t\right)=-\frac{1}{4} t^{2} \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{2}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]_{X=x_{0}}, \quad \text { we obtain }
$$ from equation (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right) \exp \left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(\frac{2}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x$, $t)$.

For the complete solution of equation (9), we take $\beta=$ $\alpha^{2}$ in equation (11). If we take $\beta=\alpha$ in equation (11) and differentiating with respect to $\alpha$ as before, we get $0=t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]+1 \quad$ from which we can not determine the value of $\alpha$. But if we take $\beta=\alpha^{3}$ in equation (11) and differentiating with respect to $\alpha$, we get $\alpha=\sqrt{-\frac{1}{3} t \exp \left[\frac{1}{A_{f}} \int \frac{1}{Q(x)} d x\right]}$, from which we get, $G(x, t)=G\left(x, t_{0}\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and
$G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right) \exp \left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$ as before which are $t$ and $x$-evolutions respectively of gluon structure function for $\beta=\alpha^{3}$.

Proceeding exactly in the same way, we can show that if we take $\beta=\alpha^{4}$ we get
$G(x, t)=G\left(x, t_{0}\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right.$ and
$G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right) \exp \left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(\frac{\frac{4}{3}}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$ and so on. So, in general, if we take $\beta=\alpha^{y}$, we get

$$
G(x, t)=G\left(x, t_{0}\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{y}{y-1}} \text { and }
$$

$$
G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right) \exp \left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(\frac{\frac{y}{A_{f} Q(x)}}{A_{f}}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right] \text {, which give } t \text { and }
$$ $x$-evolutions respectively of gluon structure function for $\beta=$ $\alpha^{y}$. We observe if $y \rightarrow \infty$ (very large), $y /(y-1) \rightarrow 1$.

Thus we observe that if we take $\beta=\alpha$ in equation (11) we can not obtain the value of $\alpha$ and also the required
solution. But if we take $\beta=\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{3}, \alpha^{4}, \alpha^{5} \ldots$. and so on, we see that the powers of $\left(t / t_{0}\right)$ in $t$-evolutions of gluon structure functions are $2,3 / 2,4 / 3,5 / 4 \ldots$ and so on respectively as discussed above. Similarly, for $x$-evolutions of gluon structure functions we see that the numerators of the first term inside the integral sign are $2,3 / 2,4 / 3,5 / 4 \ldots$ and so on respectively for the same values of $\alpha$. Thus we see that if in the relation $\beta=\alpha y, y$ varies between 2 to a maximum value, the powers of $\left(t / t_{0}\right)$ and the numerators of the first term in the integral sign vary between 2 to 1 . Then it is understood that the solution of equations (9) obtained by this methodology is not unique and so the $t$ and $x$-evolution of gluon structure function obtained by this methodology is not unique. Thus by this methodology, instead of having a single solution we arrive a band of solutions, of course the range for these solutions is reasonably narrow.

Again, for $Q^{2}$ values much larger than $\Lambda^{2}$, the effective coupling is small and a perturbative description in terms of quarks and gluons interacting weakly makes sense. For $Q^{2}$ of order $\Lambda^{2}$, the effective coupling is infinite and we cannot make such a picture, since quark and gluons will arrange themselves into strongly bound clusters, namely, hadrons [16]. Also the perturbation series breaks down and structure functions must vanish [17]. Thus, $\Lambda$ can be considered as the boundary between a world of quasifree quarks and gluons, and the world of pions, protons, and so on. The value of $\Lambda$ is not predicted by the theory; it is a free parameter to be determined from experiment. It should expect that it is of the order of a typical hadronic mass [16]. The value of $\Lambda$ is so small that we can take at $Q=$ $\Lambda, F_{2}{ }^{s}(x, t)=0$ due to conservation of the electromagnetic current [18]. Since the relation between gluon and singlet structure function is $G(x, t)=K_{1}(x) F_{2}^{s}(x, t)$, therefore $G(x, t)$ $=0$ at $Q=\Lambda$. Using this boundary condition in equations (11) we get $\beta=0$ and
$G(x, t)=\alpha t \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{1}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$.
Now, defining $G\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\alpha t_{0} \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{1}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$, at $t$ $=t_{0}$, where $t_{0}=\ln \left(Q_{0}{ }^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)$ at any lower value $Q=Q_{0}$, we get from equation (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{0}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the $t$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x$, $t$ ) in LO. Again defining,
$G\left(x_{0}, t\right)=\alpha t \exp \left[\int\left(\frac{1}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]_{x=x_{0}}$, we obtain from equation (16)
$G(x, t)=G\left(x_{0}, t\right) \exp \left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x}\left(\frac{1}{A_{f} Q(x)}-\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}\right) d x\right]$,
which gives the $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x$, $t$ ) in LO. We observed that unique solutions (equations (17) and (18)) of DGLAP evolution equation for gluon structure function are same with particular solutions for y maximum in $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ relation in LO.

## 3. Results and discussion

In the present paper, we present our result of $t$ evolution of gluon structure function qualitatively and compare result of $x$-evolution with a recent global parameterization [7-8]. These parameterizations include data from H1, ZEUS, DO, CDF experiment. Though we compare our results with $y=2$ and $y=$ maximum in $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ relation with the parameterization, our result with $y=$ maximum is equivalent to that of unique solution.

In figure $1(a-b)$, we present our results of $t$ evolutions of gluon structure functions $G(x, t)$ qualitatively for the representative values of $x$ given in the figures for $y=$ 2 (upper solid and dashed lines) and $y$ maximum (lower solid and dashed lines) in $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ relation. We have taken arbitrary inputs from recent global parameterizations MRST2001 (solid lines) and MRST2001J (dashed lines) in figure 1(a) at $Q_{0}{ }^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ [7] and MRS data in figure 1(b) at $Q_{0}{ }^{2}=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ [8]. It is clear from figures that $t$-evolutions of gluon structure functions depend upon input $G\left(x, t_{0}\right)$ values.



Fig. 1(a-b): Results of $t$-evolutions of gluon structure functions for the representative values of $x$ given in the figures for $y=2$ (upper solid and dashed lines) and $y$ maximum (lower solid and dashed lines) in $\beta=\alpha y$ relation. We have taken arbitrary inputs from recent global parameterizations MRST2001 (solid lines) and MRST2001J (dashed lines) in figure 1(a) and MRS data in figure 1(b) at $Q_{0}{ }^{2}=1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $Q_{0}{ }^{2}=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ respectively. For convenience, value of each data point is increased by adding 9 and 4 for $x$ $=0.01$ and $x=0.05$ respectively in figure 1 (a) and decreased by subtracting 1 for $x=0.1$ in figure $1(b)$.

For a quantitative analysis of $x$-distributions of gluon structure functions $G(x, t)$, we calculate the integrals that occurred in equation (15) for $N_{f}=4$. In figure 2(a-b), we present our results of $x$-distribution of gluon structure functions for $K_{1}(x)=a x^{b}$, where ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' are constants, for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations [7] for $y$ minimum in the relation $\beta=\alpha^{y}$. In figure 2(a), we observe that agreement of the results with parameterization is found to be very poor for any values of ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' at low- $x$ and agreement is found to be good at high- $x$ at $a=372$ and $b$ $=4$ (thick solid line). In figure 2(b), agreement of the results with parameterizations is found to be good at $a=135$ and $b$
$=1.8$ (thick solid line) in $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ relation. In the same figures we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $a$ ' at fixed value ' $b$ '. Here we take $b=4$ in figure 2(a) and


Fig. 2(a-b): Results of $x$-distribution of gluon structure functions for $K_{1}(x)=a x^{b}$, where ' $a$ ' and ' $b$ ' are constants for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations for $y$ minimum (thick solid lines) in the relation $\beta=\alpha y$. In the same figures we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $a$ ' at fixed value ' $b$ '. Here we take $b=4$ in figure 2(a) and $b=1.8$ in figure 2(b).
$b=1.8$ in figure 2(b). We observe that if value of ' $a$ ' is increased or decreased, the curve goes upward or downward direction respectively. But the nature of the curves is similar. Here thin solid and dotted lines are MRST 2001 and MRST2001J [7] parameterizations.

In figure $3(a-b)$, we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $b$ ' at fixed value of ' $a$ '. Here we take $a=372$ in figure 3(a) and $a=135$ in figure 3(b). We observe that, agreement of the results (thick solid lines) with parameterizations is good in figure $3(a)$ at $b=4$ and figure $3(\mathrm{~b})$ at $b=1.8$. If value of ' $b$ ' is increased or decreased the curve goes downward or upward directions. But the nature of the curve is similar.



Fig. 3(a-b): Sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $b$ ' at fixed value of ' $a$ '. Here we take $a=372$ in figure 3(a) and $a=135$ in figure 3(b).

In figure 4(a-b), we present our results of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=a x^{b}$ for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) and maximum (upper thick solid lines) in relation $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ at same parameter values $a=372, b=4$ in figure 4(a) and $a=135, b=1.8$ in figure 4(b) and for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations [7]. We observe that result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ maximum (long dashed lines) coincide with result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) when $a=375, b=4.7$ in figure 4(a) and $a=134, b=2$ in figure 4(b). That means if $y$ varies from minimum to maximum, then value of parameter ' $a$ ' varies from 372 to 375 and ' $b$ ' varies from 4 to 4.7 in figure $4(\mathrm{a})$ and ' $a$ ' varies from 135 to 134 and ' $b$ ' varies from 1.8 to 2 in figure $4(b)$.


Fig. 4(a-b): Results of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=a x^{b}$ for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) and maximum (upper thick solid lines) in relation $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ at same parameter values $a=372, b=4$ in figure $4(\mathrm{a})$ and $a=135, b=1.8$ in figure $4(\mathrm{~b})$ and for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations. Result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ maximum (long dashed lines) coincide with result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) when $a=375, b=4.7$ in figure $4(\mathrm{a})$ and $a=134, b=2$ in figure 4(b).

In figure $5(a-b)$, we present our results of $x$-distribution of gluon structure functions $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=c e^{-d x}$, where ' $c$ ' and ' $d$ ' are constants for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations [7] for $y$ minimum in the relation $\beta=\alpha y$. In figure 5(a), we observe that agreement of the results with parameterization is found to be very poor for any values of ' $c$ ' and ' $d$ ' at low- $x$ and agreement is found to be good at high $-x$ at $c=300$ and $d=-3.8$ (thick solid line). In figure 5(b) agreement of the results with parameterizations is found to be good at $c=5$ and $d=-28$ (thick solid line). In the same
figures, we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $c$ ' by thick dashed lines at fixed value' $d$ '.


Fig. 5(a-b): Results of $x$-distribution of gluon structure functions $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=c e^{-d x}$, where ' $c$ ' and ' $d$ ' are constants for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations for $y$ minimum in the relation $\beta=\alpha y$. In the same figures we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $c$ ' by thick dashed lines at fixed value ' $d$ '. Here we take $d=-3.8$ in figure 5(a) and $d=-28$ in figure 5(b).

Here we take $d=-3.8$ in figure 5(a) and $d=-28$ in figure 5(b). We observe that if value of ' $c$ ' is increased or decreased, the curve goes upward or downward directions respectively. But the nature of the curve is similar.


Fig. 6(a-b): Sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $d$ ' at fixed value of ' $c$ '. Here we take $c=300$ in figure 6(a) and $c=5$ in figure 6(b).

In figure $6(a-b)$, we present the sensitivity of our results for different values of ' $d$ ' at fixed value of ' $c$ '. Here we take $c=300$ in figure 6(a) and $c=5$ in figure $6(\mathrm{~b})$. We observe that agreement of the results (thick solid lines) with parameterizations is good in figure 6(a) at $d=-3.8$, and 6(b) at $d=-28$. If value of ' $d$ ' is increased or decreased, the curve goes downward or upward direction in figure 6(a) and if value of ' $d$ ' is increased or decreased the curve goes upward or downward direction in figure 6(b). But the nature of the curves is similar in both cases.

In figure 7(a-b), we present our results of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=c e^{-d x}$ for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) and maximum (upper thick solid lines) in the relation $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ at same parameter values $c=300, d=-3.8$ i nfigure $7(\mathrm{a})$ and $c=5, d=-28$ in figure $7(b)$ and for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations [7]. We observe that result of $x$-evolution


Fig. 7(a-b): Results of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function $G(x, t)$ for $K_{1}(x)=c e^{-d x}$ for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) and maximum (upper thick solid lines) in the relation $\beta=\alpha^{y}$ at same parameter values $c=300, d=-3.8$ in figure 7(a) and $c=5, d=-28$ in figure 7(b) and for representative values of $Q^{2}$ given in each figure, and compare them with recent global parameterizations. Result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function, for $y$ maximum (long dashed lines) coincide with result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) when $c=300, d=-3.6$ in figure 7 (a) and $c=5, d=-25.3$ in figure 7 (b).
of gluon structure function, for $y$ maximum (long dashed lines) coincide with result of $x$-evolution of gluon structure function for $y$ minimum (lower thick solid lines) when $c=$ 300, $d=-3.6$ in figure 7(a) and $c=5, d=-25.3$ in figure 7(b). That means if $y$ varies from minimum to maximum, then value of parameter ' $d$ ' varies from -3.8 to -3.6 in figure $7(a)$ and from -28 to -25.3 in figure $7(b)$. In these cases, value of parameter ' $c$ ' remains constant. It is to be noted that agreement of the results with parameterization is found to be very poor for any constant value of $K_{1}(x)$. Therefore, we do not present our result of $x$-distribution at $K_{1}(x)=$ constant. Moreover, in general, the agreement of our results with the parameterization at small-x is poor for low- $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$
value and excellent for high- $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ value which is quite expected.

From our above discussion, it has been observed that though we can derive a unique $t$-evolution for gluon structure function in LO, yet we can not establish a unique $x$-evolution for gluon structure function in LO. $K_{1}(x)$, the relation between singlet and gluon structure functions, may be in the forms of a constant, an exponential function of $x$ or a power in $x$ and they can equally produce required $x$ distribution of gluon structure functions. But unlike many parameter arbitrary input $x$-distribution functions generally used in the literature, our method requires only one or two such parameters. On the other hand, The explicit form of $K_{1}(x)$ can actually be obtained only by solving coupled DGLAP evolution equations for singlet and gluon structure functions, and works are going on in this regard.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we obtain complete and unique solutions of gluon distribution function at low-x using Taylor's expansion method from GLDAP evolution equations and $t$ and $x$-evolution of gluon structure functions in leading order. We compare our results with a global parameterization. In all the results from global fits, it is seen that, gluon structure functions increases when $x$ decreases and $Q^{2}$ increases for fixed values of $Q^{2}$ and $x$ respectively. It has been observed that, though we have derived a unique $t$-evolution for gluon in LO, yet we can not establish a completely unique $x$-evolution for gluon structure functions in LO due to the relation $K_{1}(x)$ between singlet and gluon structure functions. $K_{1}(x)$ may be in the forms of an exponential function or a power function and they can equally produce required $x$-distribution of gluon structure functions. But unlike many parameter arbitrary input $x$-distribution functions generally used in the literature, our method requires only one or two such parameters.
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